Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,996	TUMMALA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wayne Langel	1754
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Wayne Langel</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Baxam</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2005 and 9-8-05 Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
none		
Claims discussed: 10, 12 and 14.		
Prior art documents discussed:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examinner's Amendment. Support for the amendment to claim 10 may be found at least in the two full paragraghs on page 8 of the specification.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Warned Fanal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)